

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,421 07/09/2003		07/09/2003	Dominicus Jacobus Petrus Adrianus Franken	081468-0304791	7973	
909	7590	09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
		HROP, LLP	FERNANDEZ, KALIMAH			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2881		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Sil			
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.65	10/615,4	121	FRANKEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
			Fernandez	2881				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto reply within the set or extended period for reply wolly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tireatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi (0) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
,—	•)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for	· 		secution as to the meri	its is			
. — c	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4: 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) 1-5,8-15 and 18-21 is/are per a) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,8-15 and 18-21 is/are rejudition(s) 6,7,16 and 17 is/are objected claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co ected. d to.	onsideration.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
10)⊠ T A F	he specification is objected to by the he drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a) acceptor ion to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) [cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority decopies of the priority decopies of the priority decopies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s	s)							
2) Notice 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-9-03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2881

3. Claims 1-5,8-15, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 6,036,162 issued to Hayashi.

- 4. Hayashi discloses a radiation system constructed and arranged to provide a projection beam of radiation (col.10, lines 26-30; col.18, lines 35-43).
- 5. Hayashi discloses a support structure constructed and arranged to support a patterning device (col.10, lines 30-33).
- 6. Hayashi discloses the patterning device constructed and arranged to pattern the projection beam according to a desired pattern (col.10, lines 26-38).
- 7. Hayashi discloses a substrate table constructed and arranged to hold a substrate (col.9, lines 62-64).
- 8. Hayashi discloses a projection system constructed and arranged to project the patterned beam onto a target portion of the substrate (col.9, lines 41-43).
- 9. Hayashi discloses a base to which the support structure and the substrate table are mounted (col. 13, lines 60-65).
- 10. Hayashi discloses a reference frame compliantly mounted to the base, wherein the projection system comprises at least one optical element

Art Unit: 2881

mounted on a projection frame that is compliantly mounted to the reference frame (col.9, lines 43-61). The term 'compliantly mounted' has been given its dictionary definition to mean a soft mounted.

- 11. As per claims 2 and 12, Hayashi discloses an eigenfrequency of the projection frame compliantly mounted to the reference frame is between about 10 and 30 Hz (col. 4, lines 9-19; col.24, lines 52-57).
- 12. As per claims 3 and 13, Hayashi discloses an eigenfrequency of the reference frame compliantly mounted to the base is about .5Hz (col.28, lines 15-29).
- 13. As per claims 4 and 14, Hayashi discloses the projection system is compliantly mounted to the reference frame by at least three compliant mounts (col.9, lines 48-61).
- 14. As per claims 5 and 15, Hayashi discloses the projection system is mounted to the reference frame on nodal axes of a dominant mode of bending vibration of the reference frame or a torsional vibration of the reference frame (col.17, line 47-col.18, line 6).
- 15. As per claims 8 and 18, Hayashi discloses the projection system is compliantly mounted to the reference frame by at least one compliant mount that is an air-mount (col. 12, lines 3-7).

Art Unit: 2881

16. As per claims 9 and 19, Hayashi discloses the motion of the projection system relative to the reference frame is damped (col.11, lines 31-39; col.22, lines 5-27; col.28, lines 15-29).

- 17. As per claims 10 and 20, Hayashi discloses the motion of the projection system relative to the reference frame is actively damped by piezoelectric actuators or Lorentz-force actuators (col.17, lines 47-67; col.24, lines 44-57; col.25, lines 19-37; col.29, lines 46-51).
- 18. As per claim 11, Hayashi discloses providing a substrate that is at least partially covered by a layer of radiation- sensitive material, projecting a patterned beam of radiation onto a target portion of the layer of radiation-sensitive material using a projection system; supporting a reference frame, a support structure constructed and arranged to support a patterning device, and a substrate table constructed and arranged to hold the substrate, on a base, wherein the reference frame is compliantly mounted to the base and the projection system is mounted to the reference frame; and compliantly mounting the projection system to the reference frame while projecting the patterned beam of radiation onto the target portion (col.9, line 38-col.10, line 38).

As per claim 21, Hayashi discloses a radiation system constructed 19. and arranged to provide a projection beam of radiation (col.10, lines 26-30; col.18, lines 35-43); a support structure constructed and arranged to support a patterning device, the patterning device constructed and arranged to pattern the projection beam according to a desired pattern (col. 10, lines 26-38); a substrate table constructed and arranged to hold a substrate (col. 13, lines 60-65); a projection system constructed and arranged to project the patterned beam onto a target portion of the substrate (col.9, lines 41-43); a base to which the support structure and the substrate table are mounted (col. 13, lines 60-65); a reference frame mounted to the base, wherein the projection system comprises at least one optical element mounted on a projection frame that is mounted to the reference frame(col.9, lines 43-61); at least one first isolation mount operatively between the reference frame and the base to inhibit vibrations or movements of a predetermined type from being transmitted from the base to the reference frame; and at least one second isolation mount operatively between the reference frame and the projection frame to inhibit vibrations or movements of a predetermined type from being transmitted

from the reference frame to the projection frame (see fig.12; col.24, lines 44-62).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 20. Claims 6-7 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 21. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of the record fails to teach or fairly teach the limitation "a T-shaped member with one of the projection system and the reference frame attached to both ends of a first elongate member of the T-shaped member and the other of the projection system and the reference frame attached to an end of a second elongate member of the T-shaped member."

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat No 6,222,614 issued to Ohtomo;

Page 8

US Pat No 6,750,625 issued to Binnard et al; and US Pat No 6,285,444 issued to Osanai et al are considered relevant to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalimah Fernandez whose telephone number is 571-272-2470. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tues 6:30-3:30; Wed-Thurs 8-5 and Fri.9am-6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571-272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,421

Art Unit: 2881

Page 9

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KF

SUPERUSORY PATENT EXAMINER
7/CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800